

ORE RESEARCH & EXPLORATION P/L ABN 28 006 859 856 37A Hosie Street · Bayswater North · VIC 3153 · AUSTRALIA **└** 61 3 9729 0333 <sup></sup>/<sub>2</sub> 61 3 9729 8338 

#### **CERTIFICATE OF ANALYSIS FOR**

# **CERTIFIED REFERENCE MATERIAL WON25**

### Phosphate Ore (Wonarah Project, NT, Australia)

Constituent	Certified Value	1SD
Phosphorus oxide, P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (wt.%)	24.70	0.32
Silicon dioxide, SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	31.77	0.28
Titanium dioxide, TiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	0.191	0.010
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	4.36	0.04
Iron oxide, Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.157	0.027
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	33.29	0.24
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	0.15	0.03
Manganese oxide, MnO (wt.%)	0.030	0.004
Potassium oxide, K <sub>2</sub> O (wt.%)	0.346	0.008
Sodium oxide, Na <sub>2</sub> O (wt.%)	0.067	0.029
LOI at 1000°C (wt.%)	2.41	0.11

Summary Statistics

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million)  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$   $\mu$ g/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%.



### INTRODUCTION

WON25 was prepared from phosphate ore sourced from the Wonarah Phosphate Project located in the Barkly Tableland of Northern Territory, Australia. The project consists of two sites known as 'Arruwurra' and 'Main Zone'. WON14 is one from a suite of four phosphate CRMs (the others being WON14, WON20 and WON30). All four CRMs have been characterised for  $P_2O_5$ , SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and LOI at 1000°C.

### COMMINUTION AND HOMOGENISATION PROCEDURES

The material was prepared in the following manner:

- drying at 105°C to constant mass;
- crushing and first stage milling;
- preliminary homogenisation;
- second stage milling to 100% minus 40 microns;
- final homogenisation;
- packaging into 10g units sealed in laminated foil pouches.

### ANALYSIS OF WON25

Ten commercial laboratories participated in the analytical program to characterise P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O by lithium borate fusion XRF and LOI by thermogravimetry. All results together with uncorrected means, medians, one sigma standard deviations, relative standard deviations and percent deviation of lab means from the corrected mean of means (PDM<sup>3</sup>) are presented in Tables A2 and A12 (Appendix). The parameter PDM<sup>3</sup> is a measure of laboratory accuracy while the relative standard deviation is an effective measure of analytical precision where homogeneity of the test material has been confirmed.

Results for  $P_2O_5$  are also presented in a scatter plot (Figure 1) together with ±3SD (magenta) and ±5% (yellow) control lines and certified value (green line). Accepted individual results are coloured blue and individual and dataset outliers are identified in red and violet, respectively (where present). The analytical methods employed by each laboratory are explained, together with other abbreviations used, in Table A1 (Appendix).

Each participating laboratory received 5 samples of 10g each. Each set of subsamples submitted to each laboratory was taken at regular intervals during packaging of the standard in order to maximise their representation.

### STATISTICAL EVALUATION OF ANALYTICAL DATA FOR WON25

#### **Certified Value and Confidence Intervals**

The certified value is the mean of means of accepted replicate values of accepted participating laboratories computed according to the formulae

$$\overline{x}_i = \frac{1}{n_i} \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}$$
$$\ddot{x} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p \overline{x}_i$$

where

 $x_{ij}$  is the jth result reported by laboratory i; p is the number of participating laboratories;  $n_i$  is the number of results reported by laboratory i;  $\overline{x_i}$  is the mean for laboratory i;  $\ddot{x}$  is the mean of means.

The confidence intervals were obtained by calculation of the variance of the consensus value (mean of means) and reference to Student's-*t* distribution with degrees of freedom (*p*-1).

$$\hat{V}(\ddot{x}) = \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{i=1}^{p} \left(\overline{x}_{i} - \ddot{x}\right)^{2}$$

Confidence Interval = 
$$\ddot{x} \pm t_{1-x/2}(p-1)(\hat{V}(\ddot{x}))^{1/2}$$

where

 $t_{1-x/2}(p-1)$  is the 1-x/2 fractile of the t-distribution with (p-1) degrees of freedom.

The distribution of the values is assumed to be symmetrical about the mean in the calculation of the confidence interval.

The test for rejection of individual outliers from each laboratory data set was primarily based on *z* scores (rejected if  $|z_i| > 2.5$ ) computed from the robust estimators of location and scale, *T* and *S*, respectively, according to the formulae:

$$S = 1.483 \operatorname{median}_{j=1....n} / x_j - \operatorname{median}_{i=1....n} (x_i) /$$

$$z_i = \frac{x_i - T}{S}$$

where

*T* is the median value in a data set;

*S* is the median of all absolute deviations from the sample median multiplied by 1.483, a correction factor to make the estimator consistent with the usual parameter of a normal distribution.

Constituent	Certified	95% Confide	ence Interval
	Value	Low	High
Phosphorus oxide, P2O5 (wt.%)	24.70	24.47	24.94
Silicon dioxide, SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	31.77	31.55	31.98
Titanium dioxide, TiO₂ (wt.%)	0.191	0.183	0.199
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	4.36	4.33	4.39
Iron oxide, Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.157	1.138	1.176
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	33.29	33.10	33.48
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	0.15	0.13	0.18
Manganese oxide, MnO (wt.%)	0.030	0.026	0.033
Potassium oxide, K₂O (wt.%)	0.346	0.340	0.352
Sodium oxide, Na₂O (wt.%)	0.067	0.045	0.088
LOI at 1000°C (wt.%)	2.41	2.32	2.50

Table 1. Certified values and 95% confidence intervals for WON25

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million)  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$  µg/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%. Note: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

The z-score test is used in combination with a second method of individual outlier detection that determines the percent deviation of the individual value from the median. Outliers in general are selected on the basis of z-scores > 2.5 and with percent deviations > 1.5%. In certain instances, statistician's prerogative has been employed in discriminating outliers. Each laboratory data set is tested for outlying status based on z-score discrimination and rejected if  $|z_i| > 2.5$ . After individual and lab data set outliers have been eliminated a non-iterative 3 standard deviation filter is applied, with any values lying outside this window also relegated to outlying status.

Individual outliers and, more rarely, laboratory means deemed to be outlying are shown left justified and in bold in the tabulated results (see Appendix) and have been omitted in the determination of certified values. The magnitude of the confidence interval is inversely proportional to the number of participating laboratories and interlaboratory agreement. It is a measure of the reliability of the certified value, i.e., the narrower the confidence interval the greater the certainty in the certified value. A 95% confidence interval indicates a 95% probability that the interval includes the true value of the analyte under consideration.

#### Statement of Homogeneity

The standard deviation of each laboratory data set includes error due to both the imprecision of the analytical method employed and to possible inhomogeneity of the material analysed. The standard deviation of the pooled individual analyses of all participating laboratories includes error due to the imprecision of each analytical method, to possible inhomogeneity of the material analysed and, in particular, to deficiencies in accuracy of each analytical method.

In determining tolerance intervals that component of error attributable to measurement inaccuracy was eliminated by transformation of the individual results of each data set to a common mean (the uncorrected grand mean) according to the formula

$$x'_{ij} = x_{ij} - \overline{x}_i + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^{n_i} x_{ij}}{\sum_{i=1}^p n_i}$$

where

 $x_{ij}$  is the jth raw result reported by laboratory i;  $x'_{ij}$  is the jth transformed result reported by laboratory i;  $n_i$  is the number of results reported by laboratory i; p is the number of participating laboratories;  $\bar{x}_i$  is the raw mean for laboratory i.

The homogeneity of each constituent was determined from tables of factors for two-sided tolerance limits for normal distributions (ISO 3207) in which

Lower limit is  $\ddot{x} - k'_2(n, p, l - \alpha)s''_g$ Upper limit is  $\ddot{x} + k'_2(n, p, l - \alpha)s''_g$ 

where

n is the number of results;  $1-\alpha$  is the confidence level; p is the proportion of results expected within the tolerance limits;  $k'_2$  is the factor for two – sided tolerance limits (m,  $\alpha$  unknown);  $s''_g$  is the corrected grand s tan dard deviation.

The meaning of these tolerance limits (Table 2) may be illustrated for  $P_2O_5$ , where 99% of the time at least 95% of subsamples will have concentrations lying between 24.60 and 24.80 wt.%. Put more precisely, this means that if the same number of subsamples were taken and analysed in the same manner repeatedly, 99% of the tolerance intervals so constructed would cover at least 95% of the total population, and 1% of the tolerance intervals would cover less than 95% of the total population (ISO Guide 35).

The corrected grand standard deviation,  $s_{g}$ , used to compute the tolerance intervals is the weighted means of standard deviations of all data sets for a particular constituent according to the formula:

$$s''_{g} = \frac{\sum_{i=l}^{p} (s_{i}(l - \frac{s_{i}}{s'_{g}}))}{\sum_{i=l}^{p} (l - \frac{s_{i}}{s'_{g}})}$$

where

 $1 - (\frac{s_i}{2s'_g})$  is the weighting factor for laboratory *i*;  $s'_g$  is the grand standard deviation computed from the transformed (i.e. means - adjusted) results

according to the formula



where  $\overline{x}'_{i}$  is the transformed mean for laboratory i

The weighting factors were applied to compensate for the considerable variation in analytical precision amongst participating laboratories. Hence, weighting factors for each data set have been constructed so as to be inversely proportional to the standard deviation of that data set. It should be noted that estimates of tolerance by this method are considered conservative as a significant proportion of the observed variance, even in those laboratories exhibiting the best analytical precision, can presumably be attributed to measurement error.

Constituent	Certified	Tolerance Interval 1-α=0.99, ρ=0.95			
	Value	Low	High		
Phosphorus oxide, P2O5 (wt.%)	24.70	24.60	24.80		
Silicon dioxide, SiO2 (wt.%)	31.77	31.64	31.89		
Titanium dioxide, TiO₂ (wt.%)	0.191	IND	IND		
Aluminium oxide, Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	4.36	4.35	4.38		
Iron oxide, Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.157	1.150	1.164		
Calcium oxide, CaO (wt.%)	33.29	33.21	33.36		
Magnesium oxide, MgO (wt.%)	0.15	IND	IND		
Manganese oxide, MnO (wt.%)	0.030	IND	IND		
Potassium oxide, K₂O (wt.%)	0.346	0.346	0.346		
Sodium oxide, Na₂O (wt.%)	0.067	IND	IND		
LOI at 1000°C (wt.%)	2.41	2.37	2.45		

Table 2. Certified values and tolerance intervals for WON25.

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million)  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$  µg/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%.

Note - intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding; IND - indeterminate.



#### **Performance Gates**

Performance gates provide an indication of a level of performance that might reasonably be expected from a laboratory being monitored by this CRM in a QA/QC program. They take into account errors attributable to measurement and CRM variability. For an effective CRM the contribution of the latter should be negligible in comparison to measurement errors. Sources of measurement error include inter-lab bias, analytical precision (repeatability) and inter-batch bias (reproducibility).

Two methods have been employed to calculate performance gates. The first method uses the same filtered data set used to determine the certified value, i.e., after removal of all individual, lab dataset (batch) and 3SD outliers. These outliers can only be removed after the absolute homogeneity of the CRM has been independently established, i.e., the outliers must be confidently deemed to be analytical rather than arising from inhomogeneity of the CRM. The standard deviation is then calculated for each analyte from the pooled individual analyses generated from the certification program.

Table 3 shows performance gates calculated for two and three standard deviations. As a guide these intervals may be regarded as warning or rejection for multiple 2SD outliers, or rejection for individual 3SD outliers in QC monitoring, although their precise application should be at the discretion of the QC manager concerned. A second method utilises a 5% window calculated directly from the certified value. Standard deviation is also shown in relative percent for one, two and three relative standard deviations (1RSD, 2RSD and 3RSD) to facilitate an appreciation of the magnitude of these numbers and a comparison with the 5% window. Caution should be exercised when concentration levels approach lower limits of detection of the analytical methods employed as performance gates calculated from standard deviations tend to be excessively wide whereas those determined by the 5% method are too narrow.

	Certified		Absolute	Standard	Deviatio	n	Relative	Standard I	Deviation	5% window	
Constituent	Value	1SD	2SD Low	2SD High	3SD Low	3SD High	1RSD	2RSD	3RSD	Low	High
P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> (wt.%)	24.70	0.32	24.07	25.34	23.75	25.66	1.28%	2.57%	3.85%	23.47	25.94
SiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	31.77	0.28	31.20	32.33	30.92	32.61	0.89%	1.78%	2.67%	30.18	33.35
TiO <sub>2</sub> (wt.%)	0.191	0.010	0.171	0.212	0.160	0.222	5.38%	10.75%	16.13%	0.182	0.201
Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	4.36	0.04	4.28	4.44	4.24	4.48	0.90%	1.80%	2.69%	4.14	4.58
Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (wt.%)	1.157	0.027	1.102	1.212	1.075	1.239	2.37%	4.73%	7.10%	1.099	1.215
CaO (wt.%)	33.29	0.24	32.80	33.78	32.56	34.02	0.73%	1.47%	2.20%	31.62	34.95
MgO (wt.%)	0.15	0.03	0.09	0.22	0.06	0.25	21.28%	42.55%	63.83%	0.15	0.16
MnO (wt.%)	0.030	0.004	0.022	0.038	0.018	0.041	13.41%	26.82%	40.23%	0.028	0.031
K <sub>2</sub> O (wt.%)	0.346	0.008	0.330	0.362	0.322	0.370	2.30%	4.61%	6.91%	0.329	0.363
Na <sub>2</sub> O (wt.%)	0.067	0.029	0.008	0.125	-0.021	0.154	43.85%	87.69%	131.5%	0.063	0.070
LOI (wt.%)	2.41	0.11	2.19	2.63	2.09	2.73	4.47%	8.94%	13.41%	2.29	2.53

	Table 3.	Performance	Gates <sup>•</sup>	for	WON25
--	----------	-------------	--------------------	-----	-------

SI unit equivalents: ppm (parts per million)  $\equiv$  mg/kg  $\equiv$  µg/g  $\equiv$  0.0001 wt.%.

Note 1: intervals may appear asymmetric due to rounding.

Note 2: the number of decimal places quoted does not imply accuracy of the certified value to this level but are given to minimise rounding errors when calculating 2SD and 3SD windows.

### PARTICIPATING LABORATORIES

- 1. Actlabs, Ancaster, ON, Canada
- 2. ALS, Brisbane, QLD, Australia
- 3. ALS, Perth, WA, Australia
- 4. ALS, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- 5. Amdel Limited (currently Bureau Veritas), Newcastle, NSW, Australia
- 6. Genalysis (currently Intertek), Perth, WA, Australia
- 7. Intertek Testing Services, Jakarta, Indonesia
- 8. SGS, Perth, WA, Australia
- 9. SpectraChem Analytical, Lower Hutt, New Zealand
- 10. Ultra Trace (currently Bureau Veritas), Perth, WA, Australia

### PREPARER AND SUPPLIER

Certified reference material WON25 was prepared, certified and supplied by:



ORE Research & Exploration Pty Ltd	Tel:	+613-9729 0333
37A Hosie Street	Fax:	+613-9729 8338
Bayswater North VIC 3153	Web:	www.oreas.com
AUSTRALIA	Email:	info@ore.com.au

### **INTENDED USE**

WON25 is a reference material intended for the following:

- for the monitoring of laboratory performance in the analysis of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and LOI in geological samples;
- for the calibration of instruments used in the determination of the concentration of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and LOI;
- for the verification of analytical methods for P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and LOI.

### STABILITY AND STORAGE INSTRUCTIONS

In its unopened state in the laminated foil pouches and under normal conditions of storage it has a shelf life beyond ten years.

### INSTRUCTIONS FOR CORRECT USE

The certified values for WON25 refer to the concentration of  $P_2O_5$ , SiO<sub>2</sub>, TiO<sub>2</sub>, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, CaO, MgO, MnO, K<sub>2</sub>O, Na<sub>2</sub>O and LOI after removal of hygroscopic moisture by drying to constant mass at 105°C. It should therefore be dried prior to weighing and analysis. Alternatively, the samples can be equilibrated to the lab atmosphere and all analytes corrected to a dry basis after moisture analysis at 105°C.

### LEGAL NOTICE

Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd has prepared and statistically evaluated the property values of this reference material to the best of its ability. The Purchaser by receipt hereof releases and indemnifies Ore Research & Exploration Pty Ltd from and against all liability and costs arising from the use of this material and information.

### **CERTIFYING OFFICER**

October, 2009

Craig Hamlyn (B.Sc. Hons - Geology), Technical Manager - ORE P/L

### REFERENCES

ISO Guide 35 (2006), Certification of reference materials - General and statistical principals. ISO Guide 3207 (1975), Statistical interpretation of data - Determination of a statistical tolerance interval.

## APPENDIX

# **Analytical Data for WON25**

Table A1. Explanation of abbreviations used in Tables A2 – A12.

Abbreviation	Explanation
Std.Dev.	one standard deviation
Rel.Std.Dev.	one relative standard deviation (%)
PDM <sup>3</sup>	percent deviation of lab mean from corrected mean of means
BF	lithium borate fusion
XRF	x-ray fluorescence
LOI	loss on ignition

Table A2. Results for P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	24.10	24.38	25.00	24.50	24.90	24.96	25.20	24.74	24.80	24.69
2	24.10	24.41	25.10	24.60	24.80	24.90	25.20	24.66	24.70	24.68
3	24.00	24.41	24.90	24.50	24.80	24.95	25.30	24.64	24.70	24.77
4	23.90	24.44	24.90	24.50	24.80	24.92	25.10	24.75	24.70	24.90
5	24.10	24.41	24.90	24.40	24.90	24.88	25.20	24.66	24.80	24.62
Mean	24.04	24.41	24.96	24.50	24.84	24.92	25.20	24.69	24.74	24.73
Median	24.10	24.41	24.90	24.50	24.80	24.92	25.20	24.66	24.70	24.69
Std.Dev.	0.09	0.02	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.11
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.004	0.001	0.004	0.003	0.002	0.001	0.003	0.002	0.002	0.004
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-2.69%	-1.19%	1.04%	-0.82%	0.55%	0.89%	2.01%	-0.05%	0.15%	0.12%

Table A3. Results for SiO<sub>2</sub> in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	31.89	32.02	32.00	31.70	31.70	32.90	32.30	31.26	31.90	31.62
2	31.38	31.99	31.90	31.70	31.70	32.85	32.20	31.32	31.80	31.62
3	31.56	32.04	31.90	31.60	31.80	32.95	32.20	31.24	31.90	31.71
4	31.43	32.06	31.90	31.60	31.70	32.90	32.20	31.30	31.80	31.90
5	31.47	32.07	31.80	31.60	31.80	32.99	32.30	31.23	31.80	31.56
Mean	31.55	32.04	31.90	31.64	31.74	32.92	32.24	31.27	31.84	31.68
Median	31.47	32.04	31.90	31.60	31.70	32.90	32.20	31.26	31.80	31.62
Std.Dev.	0.20	0.03	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.13
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.64%	0.10%	0.22%	0.17%	0.17%	0.16%	0.17%	0.12%	0.17%	0.42%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-0.69%	0.85%	0.42%	-0.40%	-0.082%	3.63%	1.49%	-1.56%	0.23%	-0.26%

Table A4. Results for TiO<sub>2</sub> in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.180	0.180	0.160	0.210	0.200	0.180	0.190
2	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.180	0.180	0.160	0.210	0.200	0.180	0.190
3	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.180	0.180	0.150	0.210	0.200	0.180	0.190
4	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.190	0.180	0.150	0.220	0.200	0.180	0.190
5	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.180	0.180	0.150	0.200	0.200	0.180	0.180
Mean	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.182	0.180	0.154	0.210	0.200	0.180	0.188
Median	0.190	0.190	0.200	0.180	0.180	0.150	0.210	0.200	0.180	0.190
Std.Dev.	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.004	0.000	0.005	0.007	0.000	0.000	0.004
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	2.46%	0.00%	3.56%	3.37%	0.00%	0.00%	2.38%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-0.58%	-0.58%	4.65%	-4.77%	-5.81%	-19.4%	9.88%	4.65%	-5.81%	-1.63%

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	4.32	4.23	4.37	4.38	4.33	4.42	4.41	4.32	4.40	4.32
2	4.31	4.29	4.36	4.35	4.32	4.44	4.37	4.32	4.40	4.32
3	4.30	4.23	4.36	4.36	4.33	4.41	4.40	4.30	4.40	4.36
4	4.40	4.20	4.35	4.33	4.33	4.42	4.41	4.31	4.40	4.37
5	4.31	4.26	4.36	4.39	4.33	4.39	4.41	4.33	4.40	4.34
Mean	4.33	4.24	4.36	4.36	4.33	4.42	4.40	4.32	4.40	4.34
Median	4.31	4.23	4.36	4.36	4.33	4.42	4.41	4.32	4.40	4.34
Std.Dev.	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.00	0.02
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.94%	0.81%	0.16%	0.55%	0.10%	0.41%	0.39%	0.26%	0.00%	0.53%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-0.76%	-2.74%	-0.03%	0.02%	-0.76%	1.25%	0.89%	-1.04%	0.89%	-0.44%

Table A5. Results for  $Al_2O_3$  in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Table A6. Results for  $Fe_2O_3$  in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	1.20	1.13	1.15	1.16	1.16	1.13	1.16	1.17	1.20	1.13
2	1.19	1.13	1.15	1.15	1.16	1.13	1.16	1.17	1.20	1.14
3	1.19	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.17	1.12	1.18	1.17	1.20	1.11
4	1.19	1.12	1.14	1.15	1.16	1.11	1.20	1.17	1.20	1.15
5	1.18	1.12	1.14	1.16	1.16	1.13	1.16	1.17	1.20	1.10
Mean	1.19	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	1.12	1.17	1.17	1.20	1.13
Median	1.19	1.13	1.14	1.15	1.16	1.13	1.16	1.17	1.20	1.13
Std.Dev.	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.02
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.59%	0.49%	0.48%	0.47%	0.38%	0.80%	1.53%	0.00%	0.00%	1.84%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	2.87%	-2.66%	-1.11%	-0.24%	0.45%	-2.84%	1.31%	1.14%	3.73%	-2.66%

Table A7. Results for CaO in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate No.	Actlabs Ancaster	Genalysis Perth	UltraTrace Perth	SGS Perth	ALSC Brisbane	ALSC Vancouver	ALSC Perth	Spect'Chem Lower Hutt	Amdel Newcastle	ITS Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	33.18	33.03	33.50	33.20	33.30	32.43	33.70	33.03	33.60	33.30
2	33.17	33.02	33.50	33.10	33.20	32.45	33.60	32.96	33.50	33.27
3	33.31	32.98	33.50	33.00	33.30	32.47	33.70	33.04	33.60	33.34
4	33.18	32.94	33.50	33.00	33.30	32.47	33.70	33.06	33.50	33.57
5	33.17	32.92	33.50	33.00	33.30	32.35	33.70	33.05	33.50	33.15
Mean	33.20	32.98	33.50	33.06	33.28	32.43	33.68	33.03	33.54	33.33
Median	33.18	32.98	33.50	33.00	33.30	32.45	33.70	33.04	33.50	33.30
Std.Dev.	0.06	0.05	0.00	0.09	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.15
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.18%	0.15%	0.00%	0.27%	0.13%	0.15%	0.13%	0.12%	0.16%	0.46%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-0.26%	-0.93%	0.64%	-0.69%	-0.02%	-2.57%	1.18%	-0.78%	0.76%	0.11%

Replicate No.	Actlabs Ancaster	Genalysis Perth	UltraTrace Perth	SGS Perth	ALSC Brisbane	ALSC Vancouver	ALSC Perth	Spect'Chem Lower Hutt	Amdel Newcastle	ITS Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	0.180	0.220	0.150	0.170	0.160	0.260	0.120	0.130	0.140	0.120
2	0.180	0.230	0.160	0.160	0.150	0.260	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.120
3	0.180	0.230	0.150	0.160	0.160	0.250	0.130	0.140	0.130	0.120
4	0.180	0.230	0.150	0.160	0.160	0.250	0.140	0.120	0.140	0.130
5	0.170	0.230	0.160	0.170	0.160	0.250	0.120	0.110	0.130	0.110
Mean	0.178	0.228	0.154	0.164	0.158	0.254	0.128	0.126	0.134	0.120
Median	0.180	0.230	0.150	0.160	0.160	0.250	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.120
Std.Dev.	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.005	0.004	0.005	0.008	0.011	0.005	0.007
Rel.Std.Dev.	2.51%	1.96%	3.56%	3.34%	2.83%	2.16%	6.54%	9.05%	4.09%	5.89%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	15.3%	47.6%	-0.29%	6.19%	2.30%	64.5%	-17.1%	-18.4%	-13.2%	-22.3%

Table A8. Results for MgO in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Table A9. Results for MnO in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	0.033	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.005	0.030	0.030	0.050
2	0.033	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.007	0.030	0.030	0.050
3	0.034	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.009	0.030	0.030	0.050
4	0.034	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.007	0.030	0.030	0.060
5	0.036	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.001	0.030	0.030	0.050
Mean	0.034	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.006	0.030	0.030	0.052
Median	0.034	0.030	0.030	0.030	0.033	0.020	0.007	0.030	0.030	0.050
Std.Dev.	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.003	0.000	0.000	0.004
Rel.Std.Dev.	3.60%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	52.3%	0.00%	0.00%	8.60%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	14.9%	1.42%	1.42%	1.42%	10.34%	-32.4%	-80.4%	1.42%	1.42%	75.8%

Table A10. Results for K<sub>2</sub>O in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	0.340	0.360	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.348	0.350	0.330	0.350
2	0.340	0.360	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.347	0.350	0.330	0.350
3	0.330	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.348	0.350	0.330	0.350
4	0.330	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.346	0.350	0.330	0.350
5	0.340	0.360	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.348	0.350	0.330	0.350
Mean	0.336	0.356	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.347	0.350	0.330	0.350
Median	0.340	0.360	0.350	0.350	0.350	0.340	0.348	0.350	0.330	0.350
Std.Dev.	0.005	0.005	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.001	0.000	0.000	0.000
Rel.Std.Dev.	1.63%	1.54%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.26%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-2.87%	2.91%	1.17%	1.17%	1.17%	-1.72%	0.42%	1.17%	-4.61%	1.17%

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	0.050	0.070	0.110	0.040	0.030	0.090	0.056	0.030	0.090	0.100
2	0.060	0.090	0.100	0.040	0.030	0.090	0.064	0.020	0.070	0.100
3	0.060	0.090	0.100	0.040	0.040	0.080	0.077	<0.01	0.080	0.100
4	0.060	0.080	0.100	0.030	0.020	0.080	0.064	<0.01	0.110	0.140
5	0.070	0.090	0.100	0.030	0.020	0.080	0.048	0.020	0.080	0.090
Mean	0.060	0.084	0.102	0.036	0.028	0.084	0.062	0.023	0.086	0.106
Median	0.060	0.090	0.100	0.040	0.030	0.080	0.064	0.020	0.080	0.100
Std.Dev.	0.007	0.009	0.004	0.005	0.008	0.005	0.011	0.006	0.015	0.019
Rel.Std.Dev.	11.8%	10.6%	4.38%	15.2%	29.9%	6.52%	17.4%	24.7%	17.6%	18.4%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	-9.79%	26.3%	53.4%	-45.9%	-57.9%	26.3%	-7.09%	-64.9%	29.3%	59.4%

Table A11. Results for Na<sub>2</sub>O in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Table A12. Results for LOI in WON25 (abbreviations as in Table A1; values in wt.%).

Replicate	Actlabs	Genalysis	UltraTrace	SGS	ALSC	ALSC	ALSC	Spect'Chem	Amdel	ITS
No.	Ancaster	Perth	Perth	Perth	Brisbane	Vancouver	Perth	Lower Hutt	Newcastle	Jakarta
	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF	BF*XRF
1	3.47	2.34	2.42	2.44	2.37	3.60	2.25	2.42	2.40	2.60
2	3.41	2.33	2.40	2.48	2.31	3.38	2.47	2.42	2.40	2.60
3	3.40	2.33	2.47	2.45	2.34	3.39	2.15	2.46	2.40	2.60
4	3.42	2.34	2.49	2.42	2.32	3.18	2.32	2.46	2.40	2.60
5	3.38	2.33	2.51	2.44	2.35	3.59	2.27	2.44	2.40	2.70
Mean	3.42	2.33	2.46	2.45	2.34	3.43	2.29	2.44	2.40	2.62
Median	3.41	2.33	2.47	2.44	2.34	3.39	2.27	2.44	2.40	2.60
Std.Dev.	0.03	0.01	0.05	0.02	0.02	0.17	0.12	0.02	0.00	0.04
Rel.Std.Dev.	0.98%	0.23%	1.90%	0.90%	1.02%	5.08%	5.11%	0.82%	0.00%	1.71%
PDM <sup>3</sup>	41.7%	-3.17%	1.97%	1.48%	-3.01%	42.2%	-4.91%	1.23%	-0.43%	8.69%